arXiv:1409.7836v1 [math.DG] 27 Sep 2014

K-stability implies CM-stability

Gang Tian*
Beijing University and Princeton University

Contents

1 Introduction **1**

2 Asymptotics of the K-energy **3**

3 Proving Theorem 1.3 when $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M,L)=\{1\}$ **8**

4 Proving Theorem 1.3 in general cases **12**

1 Introduction

In this paper, we prove that any polarized K-stable manifold is CM-stable. This has been known to me for quite a while, in fact, the case for Fano manifolds already appeared in [Ti12] and our arguments for the proof here will follow the approach there.

Let M be a projective manifold polarized by an ample line bundle L. By the Kodaira embedding theorem, for ℓ sufficiently large, a basis of $H^0(M,L^\ell)$ gives an embedding $\phi_\ell : M \mapsto \mathbb{C}P^N$, where $N = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^0(M,L^\ell) - 1$. Any other basis gives an embedding of the form $\sigma\cdot\phi_\ell$, where $\sigma \in \mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}(N+1,\mathbb{C})$. We fix such an embedding.

Let us recall the CM-stability which originated in [Ti97]. It can be defined in terms of Mabuchi's K-energy:

$$\mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}(\varphi) \,=\, -\frac{1}{V}\int_0^1\int_M \varphi\,(\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_{t\varphi}) - \mu\,\omega_{t\varphi})\wedge\omega_{t\varphi}^{n-1}\wedge dt, \tag{1.1}$$

where ω_0 is a Käher metric with Kähler class $2\pi c_1(L)$ and

$$\omega_\varphi \,=\, \omega_0 \,+\, \sqrt{-1}\,\partial\bar\partial\,\varphi \quad\text{and}\quad \mu \,=\, \frac{c_1(M)\cdot c_1(L)^{n-1}}{c_1(L)^n}. \tag{1.2}$$

*Supported partially by grants from NSF and NSFC

Given an embedding $M \subset \mathbb{C}P^N$ by $K_M^{-\ell}$, we have an induced function on $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{SL}(N+1,\mathbb{C})$ which acts on $\mathbb{C}P^N$:

$$\mathbf{F}(\sigma) = \mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}(\psi_\sigma), \tag{1.3}$$

where ψ_σ is defined by

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\,\sigma^*\omega_{FS} = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\,\partial\bar\partial\,\psi_\sigma. \tag{1.4}$$

Note that $\mathbf{F}(\sigma)$ is well-defined since ψ_σ is unique modulo addition of constants. Similarly, we can define $\mathbf{J}$ on $\mathbf{G}$ by

$$\mathbf{J}(\sigma) = \mathbf{J}_{\omega_0}(\psi_\sigma), \tag{1.5}$$

where

$$J_{\omega_0}(\varphi) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{i+1}{n+1} \int_M \sqrt{-1}\,\partial\varphi\wedge\bar\partial\varphi\wedge\omega_0^i\wedge\omega_\varphi^{n-i-1}. \tag{1.6}$$

Definition 1.1. *We call M CM-semistable with respect to L^ℓ if $\mathbf{F}$ is bounded from below and CM-stable with respect to L^ℓ if $\mathbf{F}$ bounded from below and is proper modulo $\mathbf{J}$, i.e., for any sequence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{G}$,*

$$\mathbf{F}(\sigma_i) \to \infty \text{ whenever } \inf_{\tau\in Aut_0(M,L)} \mathbf{J}(\sigma_i\tau) \to \infty, \tag{1.7}$$

where $Aut_0(M,L)$ denotes the identity component of the automorphism group of (M,L). If $Aut_0(M,L)$ is trivial, then (1.8) *simply means that $\mathbf{F}(\sigma_i) \to \infty$ whenever $\mathbf{J}(\sigma_i) \to \infty$.*

We say (M,L) CM-stable (resp. CM-semistable) if M is CM-stable (resp. CM-semistable) with respect to L^ℓ for all sufficiently large ℓ.

Remark 1.2. *In [Ti97], the CM-stability is defined in terms of the orbit of a lifting of M in certain determinant line bundle, referred as the CM-polarization. Theorem 8.9 in [Ti97] states that such an algebraic formulation is equivalent to the one in Definition 1.1.*

The CM-stability of (M,L) is directly related to the existence of Kähler metrics with constant scalar curvature and Kähler class $c_1(L)$. When M is a Fano manifold polarized by the anti-canonical bundle K_M^{-1}, it follows from [Ti09] and the partial C^0-estimate that *M admits a Kähler-Einstein metric whenever it is CM-stable* (see [Ti12]). In general, we had proposed a similar program towards the YTD conjecture: If (M,L) is K-stable, then there is a Kähler metric with constant scalar curvature and Kähler class $2\pi c_1(L)$.

We say $\mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}$ is proper on the space $P(M,\omega_0) = \{\varphi \in C^\infty \,|\, \omega_\varphi > 0\}$ if there is a function f bounded from below such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} f(t) = \infty$ and

$$\mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}(\varphi) \geq \inf_{\tau\in Aut_0(M,L)} f(\mathbf{J}_{\omega_0}(\varphi_\tau)), \quad \forall \varphi \in P(M,\omega_0), \tag{1.8}$$

where φ_τ is given by $\tau^*\omega_\varphi = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\,\partial\bar{\partial}\varphi_\tau$. It was conjectured (see [tian98][1]) that M admits a Kähler metric of constant scalar curvature and Kähler class $2\pi c_1(L)$ if $\mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}$ is proper on $P(M,\omega_0)$. We also conjecture that a version of partial C^0-estimate holds for Kähler metrics with Kähler class $2\pi c_1(L)$. If these conjectures can be verified, we can solve the YTD conjecture.

Our main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.3. *Let (M,L) be a polarized projective manifold which is K-stable. Then M is CM-stable with respect to any L^ℓ which is very ample. In particular, (M,L) is CM-stable.*

We refer the readers to [Ti97], [Do02] and Subsection 4.1 of [Ti09] for the definition of the K-stability. If M is a K-stable Fano manifold, one can deduce from Theorem 1.3 the existence of a Kähler-Einstein metric on M. This is exactly the second approach in [Ti97] to complete the proof of the YTD conjecture for Fano manifolds.

Using the asymptotics of the K-energy in Lemma 2.1, one can easily show the converse: The CM-stability implies the K-stability.

The rest of this paper is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3.

Acknowledgement: I would like to thank Chi Li and Sean Paul for useful comments on improving presentation of this paper.

2 Asymptotics of the K-energy

In this section, we recall a result which relates the Futaki invariant to the asymptotic expansion of the K-energy. Let $\mathbf{G}_0$ be an algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{G}_0 = \{\sigma(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{C}^*} \subset \mathbf{G}$, then there is a unique limiting cycle

$$M_0 \,=\, \lim_{t\to 0}\sigma(t)(M) \subset \mathbb{C}P^N. \tag{2.1}$$

If $\mathbf{G}_0$ acts on M_0 non-trivially, one can associate the generalized Futaki invariant $f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ for M_0, where $L_0^\ell = \mathcal{O}(1)|_{M_0}$. This invariant was defined by Ding-Tian for normal or irreducible M_0 [DT92] and by Donaldson for general M_0. It can be also formulated as the CM-weight introduced in [Ti97]. If $\mathbf{G}_0$ acts on M_0 trivially, we simply set $f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0) = 0$.

In his thesis [Li12] (also see [PT06]), C. Li observed

Lemma 2.1. *For any algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{G}_0 = \{\sigma(t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{C}^*}$ of $\mathbf{G}$, we have*

$$\mathbf{F}(\sigma(t)) \,=\, -\left(f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0) \,-\, a(\mathbf{G}_0)\right)\log|t|^2 \,+\, O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad t\to 0, \tag{2.2}$$

where $a(\mathbf{G}_0)\in\mathbb{Q}$ is non-negative and the equality holds if M_0 has no non-reduced components.

[1] In [Ti98], we define the properness in the case that $Aut_0(M,L)$ is trivial. Also one can easily show that the property of properness is independent of the choice of ω_0.

Proof. C. Li has pointed out that (2.2) can be actually derived from [Ti97]. For the readers' convenience, we give a proof here by using arguments from [Ti97].

Define $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ as the set of all (x,t) in $\mathbb{C}P^N \times \mathbb{C}$ satisfying: $x \in \sigma(t)(M)$ when $t \neq 0$ and $x \in M_0$ when $t = 0$. It admits a compactification $\mathcal{X}$ as follows: There is an natural biholomorphism ϕ from $\mathcal{X}_0 = \hat{\mathcal{X}} \backslash M_0$ onto $M \times \mathbb{C}^*$ by $\phi(x,t) = (\sigma^{-1}(t)(x), t)$. Consider $\mathbb{C}P^1$ as $\mathbb{C}$ plus the point ∞, then we define

$$\mathcal{X} \,=\, \hat{\mathcal{X}} \cup_{\phi: \mathcal{X}_0 \simeq M \times \mathbb{C}^*} (M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}).$$

Clearly, $\mathcal{X}$ admits a fibration over $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Also, L induces a relatively ample bundle $\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{X}$: $\mathcal{L}|_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}} = \pi_1^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(1)|_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ and $\mathcal{L}|_{M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}} = \pi_1^* L^\ell$, where π_i denotes the projection onto the ith factor. Since $\sigma(s) \cdot \phi = \phi \cdot \sigma(s)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we have a G_0-action on $\mathcal{X}$: $\sigma(s)(x,t)$ is equal to $(\sigma(s)(x), s \cdot t)$ on $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $(x, s \cdot t)$ on $M \times (\mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\})$. Similarly, there is an natural lifting of $\mathbf{G}_0$-action on $\mathcal{L}$ which acts on $\mathcal{L}|_{\hat{\mathcal{X}}}$ as given and on $\mathcal{L}|_{M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}} = \pi_1^* L^\ell$ by $\sigma(s)(v,t) = (v, s \cdot t)$, where $v \in L$.

Let $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \mapsto \mathcal{X}$ be a $\mathbf{G}_0$-equivariant resolution and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}} = p^* \mathcal{L}$ (cf. [Ko07]). There is an induced fibration $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}P^1$, we denote $M_z = \pi^{-1}(z)$. Choose a smooth Hermitian norm h on $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}$ over $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ satisfying:

(1) $h = p^* \pi_1^* h_1$ over $\pi^{-1}(\{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, |z| \leq 1\})$, where h_1 denotes a fixed Hermitian metric on $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}P^N}(1)$ whose curvature is the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS};

(2) For any $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$, the curvature form $R(h)$ of h restricts to a Kähler metric ω_z on M_z satisfying:

$$\omega_z \,=\, \sigma(z)^* \omega_{FS}|_{M_z} \;\; \text{for} \;\; |z| \leq 1 \;\; \text{and} \;\; \omega_z \,=\, \ell\, \omega_0 \;\; \text{for} \;\; |z| \geq 2.$$

Here we regard $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \backslash p^{-1}(M_0) = \mathcal{X} \backslash M_0$ as $M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$. We may further assume that for $|z| \geq 2$, $h|_{M_z}$ is equal to a fixed norm h_0 on L^ℓ and for $|z| \leq 1$, $\sigma(z)^* h|_{M_z} = e^{-\ell\, \varphi_z}\, h_0$, where φ_z is a corresponding Kähler potential, i.e.,

$$\frac{1}{\ell}\, \omega_z \,=\, \omega_0 \,+\, \sqrt{-1}\, \partial \bar{\partial}\, \varphi_z.$$

Let $\mathcal{K} = K_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \otimes \pi^* K_{\mathbb{C}P^1}^{-1}$ be the relative canonical bundle of $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}P^1$. It has an induced Hermitian norm k on $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$: For each $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$, $k|_{M_z}$ is given by the determinant of ω_z. Clearly, the curvature form $R(k)$ of k restricts to $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_z)$ on each M_z.

Put $F(z) = \mathbf{M}_{\omega_0}(\varphi_z)$, then F is a continuous function on $\mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$, constant for $|z| \geq 2$ and coincides with $\mathbf{F}$ for $|z| \leq 1$. Following those direct computations exactly as we did for (8.5) in [Ti97], we can show that for any smooth function $\phi(z)$ with support contained in $\mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$,

$$-\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} F\, \partial \bar{\partial} \phi \,=\, \frac{1}{V} \int_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}} \phi \left(R(k) \,-\, \frac{n\, \mu}{(n+1)\, \ell}\, R(h) \right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{\ell}\, R(h) \right)^n, \quad (2.3)$$

where $V \,=\, c_1(L)^n$.

Let $\tilde{\omega}$ be a Kähler metric on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. We can construct another Hermitian metric $\tilde{k}$ on $\mathcal{K}$ as we did for k. Then the ratio $k/\tilde{k}$ is an non-negative function bounded from above. It follows from (2.3)

$$-\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(F-\xi)\,\partial\bar{\partial}\phi \;=\; \frac{1}{V}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}\phi\,\left(R(\tilde{k})\;-\;\frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,R(h)\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\,R(h)\right)^n,\quad(2.4)$$

where

$$\xi(z)\;=\;\frac{1}{V}\int_{M_z}\left(\frac{k}{\tilde{k}}\right)\log\left(\frac{k}{\tilde{k}}\right)\tilde{\omega}^n.\quad(2.5)$$

This is a bounded function, in fact, it is continuous in z.

Denote by $g_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}$ and g_B the Hermitian norms on $K^{-1}_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}$ and $K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}$ induced by the metric $\tilde{\omega}$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ and the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Define

$$\zeta(z)\;=\;\frac{1}{V}\int_{M_z}\log\left(\frac{\tilde{k}\cdot\pi^*g_B}{g_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}}\right)\,\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\,R(h)\right)^n.\quad(2.6)$$

It is easy to show (cf. [Ti97][2]) that ζ is bounded from above and extends across 0 continuously if M_0 does not have components of multiplicity greater than 1. In fact, one can show (see [PT04] and [Pa08]) that

$$\zeta(t)\;=\;a(\mathbf{G}_0)\,\log|t|^2\;+\;O(1),\quad\text{as } t\to 0,\quad(2.7)$$

where $a(\mathbf{G}_0)\geq 0$ and $O(1)$ denotes a bounded quantity. It follows from (2.4)

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1}(F-\xi-\zeta)\,\partial\bar{\partial}\phi=\frac{1}{V}\int_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}\phi\,\left(R(g_B)-R(g_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}})+\frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,R(h)\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\,R(h)\right)^n.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be the determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E},\pi)$, where $\mathcal{E}$ is defined by

$$2^{n+1}\,\ell^n\,V\,\mathcal{E}\;=\;(\mathcal{K}^{-1}\;-\;\mathcal{K})\otimes(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\;-\;\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1})^n\;-\;\frac{n\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}\;-\;\tilde{\mathcal{L}}^{-1})^{n+1}.$$

This line bundle $\mathbf{L}$ was introduced in [Ti97] and called the CM-line bundle or polarization.[3] By the Grothendick-Riemann-Roch Theorem, the first Chern class of $\mathbf{L}$ is given by

$$c_1(\mathbf{L})\;=\;\ell^{-n}\,\pi_*[c_1(\mathcal{K})\,c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})^n\;+\;\frac{n\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,c_1(\tilde{\mathcal{L}})^{n+1}].$$

The corresponding degree is simply the CM-weight dated back to [Ti97]. It was proved in [LX11] that this CM-weight coincides with the generalized Futaki invariant $f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0)$. Furthermore, there is a Hölder continuous norm $||\cdot||_B$ on $\mathbf{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ whose curvature form is given by the push-forward form

$$\pi_*\left[\left(R(g_B)-R(g_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}})+\frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,R(h)\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\,R(h)\right)^n\right].$$

[2]This function is denoted as ψ_Z there.

[3]A different formulation of the CM-line bundle was given in [PT06] which suits better for more general fibrations.

Fix a unit $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}$ and $1 \in \mathbf{L}|_{z_0}$, then we set

$$S(z) \,=\, \sigma(t)(1) \,\in\, \mathbf{L}|_z, \quad \text{where } z \,=\, \sigma(t)(z_0).$$

This defines a holomorphic section S of $\mathbf{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}^*$ which extends to $\mathbb{C}P^1\backslash\{0\}$, moreover, it is non-zero at ∞. It follows from the above discussions

$$\partial\bar{\partial}(F - \xi - \zeta + \log||S||_B^2) \,=\, 0 \;\text{ on }\; \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Since $F - \xi - \zeta + \log||S||_B$ is bounded near ∞, we conclude

$$F \,=\, \xi \,+\, \zeta \,-\, \log||S||_B^2 \,+\, c, \tag{2.8}$$

where c is a constant.

On the other hand, we can extend S to be a meromorphic section of $\mathbf{L}$ with an zero or pole of order $\pm\, f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ at 0. Then (2.2) follows from (2.8), (2.7) and the facts that ξ is bounded and $F(t) = \mathbf{F}(\sigma(t))$ for $|t| \leq 1$.

□

We can use the arguments in the proof to identify $f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0) - a(\mathbf{G}_0)$ with a generalized Futaki invariant of some special degeneration of M. Let us first describe such a degeneration. We will adopt the notations in last proof. Note that there is an natural fibration $\hat{\pi} : \hat{\mathcal{X}} \mapsto \mathbb{C}$. It was shown in [LX11] (also see [ALV09]) that there is a $\mathbf{G}_0$-equivariant semi-stable reduction $\pi' : \mathcal{X}' \mapsto \mathbb{C}$ of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ whose generic fiber is biholomorphic to M.[4] This implies that the central fiber $M_0' = \pi'^{-1}(0)$ is a singular variety with normal crossings. Furthermore, there is an natural map $q : \mathcal{X}' \mapsto \hat{\mathcal{X}}$ of degree m with $q(M_0') = M_0$. Then we have a generalized Futaki invariant $f_{M_0',L_0'}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ associated to the degeneration $\pi' : \mathcal{X}' \mapsto \mathbb{C}$.

Lemma 2.2. *For any* $\mathbf{G}_0$ *above, we have*

$$\mathbf{F}(\sigma(t)) \,=\, -\,\frac{1}{m}\, f_{M_0',L_0'}(\mathbf{G}_0)\, \log|t|^2 \,+\, O(1) \;\text{ as }\; t \to 0. \tag{2.9}$$

In particular, we have

$$f_{M_0',L_0'}(\mathbf{G}_0) \,=\, m\,(f_{M_0,L_0}(\mathbf{G}_0) \,-\, a(\mathbf{G}_0)). \tag{2.10}$$

Proof. We will use the arguments in the proof of last lemma to prove (2.9) .

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be the compactification of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$ and $\mathcal{L}$ be the line bundle over $\mathcal{X}$ constructed in the proof of Lemma 2.1. Then it admits a $\mathbf{G}_0$-equivariant semi-stable reduction $\pi' : \mathcal{X}_s' \mapsto \mathbb{C}P^1$ such that it is a compactification of $\mathcal{X}'$ with smooth fiber over $\infty \in \mathbb{C}P^1$ and admits a holomorphic map $q : \mathcal{X}_s' \mapsto \mathcal{X}$ of degree m. To prove (2.9) which is equivalent to (2.10), we simply argue as we did in the proof of Lemma 2.1 with $p : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \mapsto \mathcal{X}$ replaced by $q : \mathcal{X}_s' \mapsto \mathcal{X}$.

[4] In fact, we do not need $\mathcal{X}'$ to be a semi-stable reduction in the subsequent discussions. It is sufficient if the central fiber of $\mathcal{X}'$ is free of multiple components. Then such a $\mathcal{X}'$ can be taken to be the normalization of a base change of $\hat{\mathcal{X}}$.

The norm h in the proof of last lemma induces a Hermitian norm, still denoted by h, on $\mathcal{L}' = q^*\mathcal{L}$ over $\mathcal{X}'_s$. As before, by identifying $\mathcal{X}'_s \backslash M'_0$ with $M \times \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$, the curvature $R(h)$ restricts to a Kähler metric ω_z on M'_z, which is simply $\pi'^{-1}(z)$, for any $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$ satisfying:

$$\omega_z \,=\, \sigma(z)^* \omega_{FS}|_{M'_z} \text{ for } |z| \le 1 \;\text{ and }\; \omega_z \,=\, \ell\,\omega_0 \text{ for } |z| \ge 2.$$

Further, we have $h|_{M'_z} = h_0$ on L^ℓ for $|z| \ge 2$ and $\sigma(z)^* h|_{M'_z} = e^{-\ell\,\varphi_z}\, h_0$ for $|z| \le 1$.

Let $\mathcal{K} = K_{\mathcal{X}'_s} \otimes \pi'^* K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}$ be the relative canonical bundle of $\pi' : \mathcal{X}'_s \mapsto \mathbb{C}P^1$. It has an induced Hermitian norm k on $\mathcal{K}^{-1}$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$: For each $z \in \mathbb{C}P^1 \backslash \{0\}$, $k|_{M'_z}$ is given by the determinant of ω_z. Clearly, the curvature form $R(k)$ of k restricts to $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_z)$ on each M'_z.

It follows from (2.3)

$$-m \int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} F\,\partial\bar\partial\phi \,=\, \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} \phi \left(R(k) \,-\, \frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\, R(h) \right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{\ell}\, R(h) \right)^n. \quad (2.11)$$

Let ω' be a Kähler metric on $\mathcal{X}'_s$. We can construct another Hermitian metric k' on $\mathcal{K}$ as we did for k. Then the ratio k/k' is an non-negative function bounded from above. It follows from (2.3)

$$-\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} (m\,F - \xi)\,\partial\bar\partial\phi \,=\, \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} \phi \left(R(k') \,-\, \frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\, R(h) \right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{\ell}\, R(h) \right)^n, \quad (2.12)$$

where

$$\xi(z) \,=\, \frac{1}{V} \int_{M'_z} \left(\frac{k}{k'} \right) \log \left(\frac{k}{k'} \right) \omega'^n. \quad (2.13)$$

This is again a bounded function.

Denote by g' and g_B the Hermitian norms on $K^{-1}_{\mathcal{X}'_s}$ and $K^{-1}_{\mathbb{C}P^1}$ induced by the metric ω' on $\mathcal{X}'_s$ and the Fubini-Study metric ω_{FS} on $\mathbb{C}P^1$. Define

$$\zeta(z) \,=\, \frac{1}{V} \int_{M'_z} \log \left(\frac{k' \cdot \pi^* g_B}{g'} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\ell}\, R(h) \right)^n. \quad (2.14)$$

Since M'_0 has no multiple components, ζ is bounded. It follows from (2.12)

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}P^1} (m\,F - \xi - \zeta)\,\partial\bar\partial\phi = \frac{1}{V} \int_{\mathcal{X}'_s} \phi \left(R(g_B) - R(g_{\mathcal{X}'_s}) + \frac{n\mu}{(n+1)\ell}\, R(h) \right) \wedge \left(\frac{1}{\ell} R(h) \right)^n.$$

Let $\mathbf{L}$ be the determinant line bundle $\det(\mathcal{E}', \pi)$, where $\mathcal{E}'$ is defined by

$$2^{n+1}\,\ell^n\, V\,\mathcal{E}' \,=\, (\mathcal{K}^{-1} \,-\, \mathcal{K}) \otimes (\mathcal{L}' \,-\, \mathcal{L}'^{-1})^n \,-\, \frac{n\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\, (\mathcal{L}' \,-\, \mathcal{L}'^{-1})^{n+1}.$$

By the Grothendick-Riemann-Roch Theorem, the first Chern class of $\mathbf{L}$ is given by

$$c_1(\mathbf{L}) \,=\, \ell^{-n}\, \pi'_* [c_1(\mathcal{K})\, c_1(\mathcal{L}')^n \,+\, \frac{n\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\, c_1(\mathcal{L}')^{n+1}].$$

The corresponding degree is the CM-weight which is equal to the invariant $f_{M_0',L_0'}(\mathbf{G}_0)$. Furthermore, there is a Hölder continuous norm $||\cdot||_B$ on $\mathbf{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}P^1$ whose curvature form is given by the push-forward form

$$\pi'_*\left[\left(R(g_B)-R(g_{\mathcal{X}'_s})+\frac{n\,\mu}{(n+1)\,\ell}\,R(h)\right)\wedge\left(\frac{1}{\ell}\,R(h)\right)^n\right].$$

Fix a unit $z_0\in\mathbb{C}$ and $1\in\mathbf{L}|_{z_0}$, then we set

$$S(z)\,=\,\sigma(t)(1)\,\in\,\mathbf{L}|_z,\quad \text{where } z\,=\,\sigma(t)(z_0).$$

This defines a holomorphic section S of $\mathbf{L}$ over $\mathbb{C}^*$ which extends to $\mathbb{C}P^1\backslash\{0\}$, moreover, it is non-zero at ∞. It follows from the above discussions

$$\partial\bar\partial(m\,F-\xi-\zeta+\log||S||_B^2)\,=\,0\ \text{ on }\ \mathbb{C}^*.$$

Since $m\,F-\xi-\zeta+\log||S||_B$ is bounded near ∞, we conclude

$$m\,F\,=\,\xi\,+\,\zeta\,-\,\log||S||_B^2\,+\,c, \tag{2.15}$$

where c is a constant.

On the other hand, we can extend S to be a meromorphic section of $\mathbf{L}$ with an zero or pole of order $\pm f_{M_0',L_0'}(\mathbf{G}_0)$ at 0. Then (2.9) follows from (2.15) and the facts that both ξ and ζ are bounded.

□

Remark 2.3. *One can also prove* (2.9) *by using the equivariant Riemann-Roch Theorem.* [5]

It follows from Lemma 2.2

Theorem 2.4. *If (M,L) is K-stable, then $\mathbf{F}$ is proper along any one-parameter algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{G}_0$ of $\mathbf{G}$ unless $\mathbf{G}_0$ preserves M, i.e., it is contained in the automorphism group of M.*

3 Proving Theorem 1.3 when $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M,L)=\{1\}$

In view of Theorem 2.4, the K-stability implies that $\mathbf{F}$ is proper along any one-parameter algebraic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. Hence, our problem is whether or not the properness of $\mathbf{F}$ on $\mathbf{G}$ follows from the properness of $\mathbf{F}$ along any one-parameter algebraic subgroup of $\mathbf{G}$. This is an algebraic problem in nature.

As in classical Geometric Invariant Theory, we deduce the CM-stability from the K-stability in two steps. For simplicity, we assume $\mathrm{Aut}_0(M,L)=\{1\}$ in this section and explain how to adapt the proof to the general case in the next section.

[5] I learned from Chenyang Xu that (2.10), and consequently, (2.9), can be also proved by a purely algebraic method.

Lemma 3.1. *Let* $\mathbf{T}$ *be any maximal algebraic torus of* $\mathbf{G}$*. If the restriction* $\mathbf{F}|_{\mathbf{T}}$ *is proper in the sense of* (1.8)*, then* M *is CM-stable with respect to* L^ℓ*.*

Proof. We prove it by contradiction. Suppose that we have a sequence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{F}(\sigma_i)$ stay bounded while $\mathbf{J}(\sigma_i)$ diverge to ∞.

Recall the Cartan decomposition: $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} = U(N+1)$. Write $\sigma_i = k_i' t_i k_i$ for $k_i, k_i' \in \mathbf{K}$ and $t_i \in \mathbf{T}$. Then we have that $\mathbf{F}(t_i k_i) = \mathbf{F}(\sigma_i)$ stay bounded while $\mathbf{J}(t_i k_i) = \mathbf{J}(\sigma_i)$ diverge to ∞.

On the other hand, since each k_i is represented by unitary matrix, we can show easily

$$|\psi_{t_i} - \psi_{t_i k_i}| \,\leq\, \log(N+1). \tag{3.1}$$

Write $\mathbf{D}(t_i) = \mathbf{F}(\psi_{t_i}) - \mathbf{F}(\psi_{t_i k_i})$. Using (3.1) and the definition of the K-energy, we can deduce

$$\mathbf{D}(t_i) \,=\, \frac{1}{\ell^n\, V} \left(\int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_{t_i}^n}{\omega_0^n}\right) \omega_{t_i}^n - \int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_{t_i k_i}^n}{\omega_0^n}\right) \omega_{t_i k_i}^n \right) + \mathcal{O}(1).$$

The integrals on the right side are equal to

$$\int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_{t_i}^n}{\omega_{t_i k_i}^n}\right) \omega_{t_i}^n + \int_M \log\left(\frac{\omega_{t_i k_i}^n}{\omega_0^n}\right) (\omega_{t_i}^n - \omega_{t_i k_i}^n).$$

The first integral above is bounded from below while the second is equal to

$$\ell \int_M (\psi_{t_i} - \psi_{t_i k_i})\, (\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_0) - \mathrm{Ric}(\omega_{t_i k_i})) \wedge \sum_{a=1}^{n-1} \omega_{t_i}^a \wedge \omega_{t_i k_i}^{n-a}. \tag{3.2}$$

Using (3.1) and the fact that $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_{t_i k_i})$ is bounded from above, we can show that the integral in (3.2) is uniformly bounded. It follows that $\mathbf{D}(t_i)$ is bounded from below. Similarly, using the fact that $\mathrm{Ric}(\omega_{t_i})$ is bounded from above, one can show that $\mathbf{D}(t_i)$ is bounded from above. Therefore, we have

$$|\mathbf{F}(t_i) \,-\, \mathbf{F}(t_i k_i)| \,\leq\, C.$$

It follows that $\mathbf{F}(t_i)$ stay bounded while $\mathbf{J}(t_i)$ diverge to ∞. We get a contradiction. □

Therefore, in order to prove Theorem 1.3, we only need to prove that $\mathbf{F}$ is proper on the maximal algebraic torus $\mathbf{T}$. The remaining arguments are identical to corresponding parts in [Ti12] or [Ti13] which is based on S. Paul's works [Pa12] and [Pa13].

First we recall the Chow coordinate and Hyperdiscriminant of M ([Pa08]): Let $G(N-n-1, N)$ the Grassmannian of all $(N-n-1)$-dimensional subspaces in $\mathbb{C}P^N$. We define

$$Z_M \,=\, \{\, P \in G(N-n-1, N) \,|\, P \cap M \,\neq\, \emptyset \,\}. \tag{3.3}$$

Then Z_M is an irreducible divisor of $G(N-n-1,N)$ and determines a non-zero homogeneous polynomial $R_M \in \mathbb{C}[M_{(n+1)\times(N+1)}]$, unique modulo scaling, of degree $(n+1)d$, where $M_{k\times l}$ denotes the space of all $k\times l$ matrices. We call R_M the Chow coordinate or the M-resultant of M.

Next consider the Segre embedding:

$$M \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{C}P^N \times \mathbb{C}P^{n-1} \mapsto \mathbb{P}(M^\vee_{n\times(N+1)}),$$

where $M^\vee_{k\times l}$ denotes its dual space of $M_{k\times l}$. Then we define

$$Y_M \,=\, \{\, H \,\subset\, \mathbb{P}(M^\vee_{n\times(N+1)}) \,|\, T_p(M\times\mathbb{C}P^{n-1}) \,\subset\, H \text{ for some } p\,\}. \tag{3.4}$$

Then Y_M is a divisor in $\mathbb{P}(M^\vee_{n\times(N+1)})$ of degree $\bar{d} \,=\, (n(n+1)-\mu)\,d$. This determines a homogeneous polynomial Δ_M in $\mathbb{C}[M_{n\times(N+1)}]$, unique modulo scaling, of degree $\bar{d}$. We call Δ_M the hyperdiscriminant of M.

Set

$$r = (n+1)\,d\bar{d}, \quad \mathbf{V} \,=\, C_r[M_{(n+1)\times(N+1)}], \quad \mathbf{W} \,=\, C_r[M_{n\times(N+1)}],$$

where $C_r[\mathbb{C}^k]$ denotes the space of homogeneous polynomials of degree r on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Following [Pa12], we associate M with the pair $(R(M),\Delta(M))$ in $\mathbf{V}\times\mathbf{W}$, where $R(M) = R_M^{\bar{d}}$ and $\Delta(M) = \Delta_M^{(n+1)d}$.

Fix norms on $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{W}$, noth denoted by $||\cdot||$ for simplicity, we set

$$p_{v,w} \,=\, \log||w|| \,-\, \log||v||. \tag{3.5}$$

The following was first observed by S. Paul, but the proof below was presented by myself in [Ti13].

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(\sigma,B) \,\mapsto\, \sigma(B) : \mathbf{G}\times\mathbf{gl} \,\mapsto\, \mathbf{gl}$ be the natural representation by left multiplication, where $\mathbf{gl}$ denotes the space of all $(N+1)\times(N+1)$ matrices. Then we have*

$$|\,\mathbf{J}(\sigma) \,-\, p_{R(M),I^r}(\sigma)\,| \,\le\, C, \tag{3.6}$$

where I is the identity in $\mathbf{gl}$ and $I^r \in \mathbf{U} = \mathbf{gl}^{\otimes r}$.

Proof. It is known (cf. [Pa04])

$$(n+1)\,\mathbf{J}(\sigma) \,=\, (n+1)\int_M \psi_\sigma\,\omega_0^n \,-\, \log||\sigma(R_M)||^2.$$

This is equivalent to

$$(n+1)\,\bar{d}\,\mathbf{J}(\sigma) \,=\, r\int_M \psi_\sigma\,\frac{\omega_0^n}{d} \,-\, \log||\sigma(R(M))||^2. \tag{3.7}$$

If we write $\sigma \in \mathbf{SL}(N+1,\mathbb{C})$ as a $(N+1)\times(N+1)$-matrix (ϑ_{ij}) with determinant one, then the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of σ is given by

$$||\sigma||^2 \,=\, \sum_{i,j=0}^N |\vartheta|^2.$$

Clearly, we have

$$\psi_\sigma \,=\, \log\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} ||\sum_{j=0}^{N}\vartheta_{ij}S_j||^2\right),$$

where $\{S_j\}_{0\le j\le N}$ is an orthonormal basis. By direct computations, we can easily show

$$\left|\log||\sigma||^2 \,-\, \int_M \log\left(\sum_{i=0}^{N} ||\sum_{j=0}^{N}\vartheta_{ij}S_j||^2\right)\frac{\omega_0^n}{d}\right| \,\le\, C.$$

Combining the above two with (3.7), we get (3.6). □

Lemma 3.3. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{W}$ *and* $\mathbf{U}$ *be as above and* $\mathbf{G}_0$ *be an one-parameter algebraic subgroup. Then* $\mathbf{F}$ *is not proper on* $\mathbf{T}$ *(resp.* $\mathbf{G}_0$*) if and only if the orbit of* $[R(M),\Delta(M)]\times[R(M),I^r]$ *under* $\mathbf{T}$ *(resp.* $\mathbf{G}_0$*) has a limit point in*

$$(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W}))\times\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\times\mathbf{U}).$$

Proof. First we note that $(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W}))\times\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\times\mathbf{U})$ is $\mathbf{T}$-invariant. It follows from [Pa08] that for all $\sigma\in\mathbf{G}$, we have

$$|\,\mathbf{F}(\sigma) \,-\, a_n\, p_{R(M),\Delta(M)}(\sigma)\,| \,\le\, C, \tag{3.8}$$

where $a_n>0$ and C are uniform constants.

By Lemma 3.2 and (3.8), we see that if $\mathbf{F}$ is not proper on $\mathbf{T}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}_0$), then there are $\sigma_i\in\mathbf{T}$ (resp. $\mathbf{G}_0$) such that $p_{R(M),\Delta(M)}(\sigma_i)$ stay bounded while $p_{R(M),I^r}(\sigma_i)$ goes to ∞. In [Pa08], S. Paul showed

$$p_{R(M),\Delta(M)}(\sigma) \,=\, \log\tan^2 d(\sigma([R(M),\Delta(M)]),\sigma([R(M),0]))$$

and

$$p_{R(M),I^r}(\sigma) \,=\, \log\tan^2 d(\sigma([R(M),I^r]),\sigma([R(M),0])),$$

where $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ denotes the distance in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})$ with respect to the Fubini-Study metric. Therefore, the limits of $\sigma_i([R(M),I^r])$ lie in $\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{U})$ while limits of $\sigma_i([R(M),\Delta(M)])$ stay in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W})$.

The other direction can be easily proved by reversing the above arguments. The lemma is proved. □

Now we deduce Theorem 1.3 from Lemma 3.3. If M is not CM-stable with respect to L^ℓ, then there are $v\in\mathbf{V}, w\in\mathbf{W}, u\in\mathbf{U}$ with $u\neq 0, v\neq 0$ satisfying: If we denote $y=[v,w]\times[0,u]$ and $x=[R(M),\Delta(M)]\times[R(M),I^r]$, then y is in the closure of the $\mathbf{T}$-orbit of x.

Choose $\mathbf{T}$-invariant hyperplanes $\mathbf{V}_0\subset\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{U}_0\subset\mathbf{U}$ such that $x\in\mathbf{E}$ and $y\in\mathbf{E}_0$, where

$$E=(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_0\oplus\mathbf{W}))\times(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{U})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{U}_0))$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = (\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_0 \oplus \mathbf{W})) \times (\mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{U}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{U}_0)) .$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{E}_0$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbf{E}$ and the orbit $\mathbf{T}y$ lies in $\mathbf{E}_0$. Also both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E}_0$ are affine. They are actually isomorphic to $\mathbf{V}_0 \times \mathbf{W} \times \mathbf{V} \times \mathbf{U}_0$ and $\mathbf{V}_0 \times \mathbf{W} \times \{0\} \times \mathbf{U}_0$, respectively.

By taking an orbit in the closure of $\mathbf{T}y$ if necessary, we may assume that $\mathbf{T}y$ is closed in $\mathbf{E}_0$. Then, by a well-known result of Richardson (cf. [Pa12] and also [Ti13]), there is an one-parameter algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{G}_0$ such that the closure of $\mathbf{G}_0 x$ contains a point in $\mathbf{E}_0$ which is a subset of

$$(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{W})) \times \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \times \mathbf{U}).$$

By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.4, this contradicts to the K-stability of M. Thus, the proof of Theorem 1.3 is completed.

4 Proving Theorem 1.3 in general cases

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.3 in full generality. It is clear that only Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 need to be modified, all other arguments in last section go through without change.

First we prove a generalized version of Lemma 3.1.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\mathbf{T}$ be any maximal algebraic torus of $\mathbf{G}$. If the restriction $\mathbf{F}|_{\mathbf{T}}$ is proper in the following sense: For any sequence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{T}$,*

$$\mathbf{F}(\sigma_i) \to \infty \text{ whenever } \inf_{\tau \in Aut(M,L)} \mathbf{J}(\sigma_i \tau) \to \infty, \tag{4.1}$$

then M is CM-stable with respect to L^ℓ.

Proof. We also prove it by contradiction. Suppose that we have a sequence $\sigma_i \in \mathbf{G}$ such that $\mathbf{F}(\sigma_i)$ stay bounded while $\inf_{\tau \in Aut(M,L)} \mathbf{J}(\sigma_i \tau)$ diverge to ∞.

As before, we use the Cartan decomposition: $\mathbf{G} = \mathbf{K} \cdot \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{K}$, where $\mathbf{K} = U(N+1)$, and write $\sigma_i = k_i' t_i k_i$ for $k_i, k_i' \in \mathbf{K}$ and $t_i \in \mathbf{T}$. Then we have that $\mathbf{F}(t_i k_i) = \mathbf{F}(\sigma_i)$ stay bounded while $\mathbf{J}(t_i k_i \tau) = \mathbf{J}(\sigma_i \tau)$ diverge to ∞.

Using the arguments in the proof of Lemma 3.1, we can also prove that for some constant $C > 0$,

$$|\mathbf{F}(t_i) \, - \, \mathbf{F}(t_i k_i)| \, \leq \, C.$$

It remains to prove

$$\inf_{\tau \in Aut(M,L)} \mathbf{J}(t_i \tau) \, \to \, \infty.$$

Each k_i is represented by a unitary matrix (γ_{ab}) with $|\gamma_{ab}| \leq 1$. Let $S_a = t_i(z_a)$, where $a = 0, \cdots, N$, and z_a is the a-th coordinate of $\mathbb{C}P^N$, then all these S_a form a basis of $H^0(M, L^\ell)$ and we have

$$\psi_{t_i} \, = \, \log(\sum_{a=0}^{N} ||S_a||_0^2), \quad \psi_{t_i k_i} \, = \, \log(\sum_{a=0}^{N} || \sum_{b=0}^{N} \gamma_{ab} S_b||_0^2).$$

It follows

$$|\psi_{t_i} - \psi_{t_i k_i}| \leq \log(N+1). \tag{4.2}$$

Since τ is an automorphism of M, we have

$$\psi_{t_i\tau} = \varphi_\tau + \psi_{t_i}\cdot\tau, \quad \psi_{t_i k_i \tau} = \varphi_\tau + \psi_{t_i k_i}\cdot\tau, \tag{4.3}$$

where φ_τ is a function satisfying:

$$\tau^*\omega_0 = \omega_0 + \sqrt{-1}\partial\bar\partial\,\varphi_\tau.$$

It follows from (4.2) and (4.3)

$$|\psi_{t_i\tau} - \psi_{t_i k_i \tau}| \leq \log(N+1).$$

This implies that $|\mathbf{J}(t_i\tau) - \mathbf{J}(t_i k_i\tau)|$ is uniformly bounded. Therefore, $\mathbf{F}(t_i)$ stay bounded while $\inf_{\tau\in Aut(M,L)}\mathbf{J}(t_i\tau)$ diverge to ∞. We get a contradiction. □

In the following, we will fix a maximal algebraic torus $\mathbf{T}_0$ in $Aut_0(M,L)$. We will prove that the K-stability implies

$$\mathbf{F}(t_i)\to\infty \text{ whenever } \inf_{\tau\in\mathbf{T}_0}\mathbf{J}(t_i\tau)\to\infty, \quad \forall\,\{t_i\}\subset\mathbf{T}. \tag{4.4}$$

Clearly, it follows from this and Lemma 4.1 that M is CM-stable with respect to L^ℓ.

We will adopt the notations from last section. Choose an algebraic subtorus $\mathbf{T}_1$ of $\mathbf{T}$ such that $\mathbf{T} = \mathbf{T}_0\cdot\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\mathbf{T}_1$ is transversal $\mathbf{T}_0$.

Lemma 4.2. *Let* $\mathbf{V}$, $\mathbf{W}$ *and* $\mathbf{U}$ *be those in Lemma 3.3. If* (4.4) *is false, then the orbit of* $[R(M),\Delta(M)]\times[R(M),I^r]$ *under* $\mathbf{T}_1$ *has a limit point in*

$$(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W}))\times\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\times\mathbf{U}).$$

Proof. First we note that $(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W}))\times\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\times\mathbf{U})$ is $\mathbf{T}_1$-invariant. If (4.4) is false, then there is a sequence $\{t_i\}\subset\mathbf{T}$ such that $\mathbf{F}(t_i)$ stays bounded while $\inf_{\tau\in\mathbf{T}_0}\mathbf{J}(t_i\tau)$ diverge to ∞. Write $t_i = s_i\tau_i$ for $s_i\in\mathbf{T}_1$ and $\tau_i\in\mathbf{T}_0$, then $\mathbf{F}(s_i) = \mathbf{F}(t_i)$ stays bounded while

$$\mathbf{J}(s_i) = \mathbf{J}(t_i\tau_i^{-1}) \geq \inf_{\tau\in\mathbf{T}_0}\mathbf{J}(t_i\tau)\to\infty.$$

It follows from Lemma 3.2 and (3.8) that $p_{R(M),\Delta(M)}(s_i)$ stay bounded while $p_{R(M),I^r}(s_i)$ goes to ∞. Then, as we argued in the proof of Lemma 3.3, the limits of $s_i([R(M),I^r])$ lie in $\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\times\mathbf{U})$ while limits of $s_i([R(M),\Delta(M)])$ lie in $\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}\oplus\mathbf{W})\backslash\mathbb{P}(\{0\}\oplus\mathbf{W})$. The lemma is proved. □

Now we deduce Theorem 1.3 in general cases from Lemma 4.2.

If M is not CM-stable with respect to L^ℓ, there are $v\in\mathbf{V}, w\in\mathbf{W}, u\in\mathbf{U}$ with $u\neq 0, v\neq 0$ satisfying: y is in the closure of the $\mathbf{T}_1$-orbit of x, where $y = [v,w]\times[0,u]$ and $x = [R(M),\Delta(M)]\times[R(M),I^r]$ as before.

Choose $\mathbf{T}_1$-invariant hyperplanes $\mathbf{V}_0 \subset \mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{U}_0 \subset \mathbf{U}$ such that $x \in \mathbf{E}$ and $y \in \mathbf{E}_0$, where

$$E = (\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_0 \oplus \mathbf{W})) \times (\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{U}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{U}_0))$$

and

$$\mathbf{E}_0 = (\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V}_0 \oplus \mathbf{W})) \times (\mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{U}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{U}_0)) .$$

As before, $\mathbf{E}_0$ is a closed subspace of $\mathbf{E}$, the orbit $\mathbf{T}_1 y$ lies in $\mathbf{E}_0$ and both $\mathbf{E}$ and $\mathbf{E}_0$ are affine.

By taking an orbit in the closure of $\mathbf{T}_1 y$ if necessary, we may assume that $\mathbf{T}_1 y$ is closed in $\mathbf{E}_0$. Then, by a well-known result of Richardson (cf. [Pa12] and also [Ti13]), there is an one-parameter algebraic subgroup $\mathbf{G}_0$ of $\mathbf{T}_1$ such that the closure of $\mathbf{G}_0 x$ contains a point in $\mathbf{E}_0$ which is a subset of $(\mathbb{P}(\mathbf{V} \oplus \mathbf{W}) \backslash \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \oplus \mathbf{W})) \times \mathbb{P}(\{0\} \times \mathbf{U})$. By Lemma 3.3 and Theorem 2.4, this contradicts to the K-stability of M. Thus, we have completed the proof of Theorem 1.3 in general cases.

References

[ALV09] Arezzo, C., Lanave, G. and Vedova. A.: Singularities and K-semistability. Int. Math. Res. Notices, 2012, no. 4, 849-869.

[Do02] Donaldson, S: Scalar curvature and stability of toric varieties. J. Diff. Geom., **62** (2002), 289-349.

[DT92] Ding, W. and Tian, G.: Kähler-Einstein metrics and the generalized Futaki invariants. Invent. Math., **110** (1992), 315-335.

[Fu83] Futaki, A.: An obstruction to the existence of Einstein-Kähler metrics. Inv. Math., **73** (1983), 437-443.

[Ko07] Kollár, J.: Lectures on resolution of singularities. Annals of Mathematics Studies, **166**. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2007.

[Li12] Li, Chi: Kähler-Einstein metrics and K-stability. Princeton thesis, May, 2012.

[LX11] Li, Chi and Xu, Chengyang: Special test configurations and K-stability of Fano varieties. Preprint, arXiv:1111.5398.

[Pa04] Paul, S.: Geometric analysis of Chow Mumford stability. Adv. Math. **182** (2004), no. 2, 333-356.

[Pa08] Paul, S.: Hyperdiscriminant polytopes, Chow polytopes, and Mabuchi energy asymptotics. Ann. of Math. (2) **175** (2012), no. 1, 255-296.

[Pa12] Paul, S.: A Numerical Criterion for K-Energy maps of Algebraic Manifolds. Preprint, arXiv:1210.0924.

[Pa13] Paul, S.: Stable Pairs and Coercive Estimates for The Mabuchi Functional. Preprint, arXiv:1308.4377.

[Pe02] Perelman, G.: The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. Preprint, arXiv:0211159.

[PT04] Paul, S. and Tian, G.: Analysis of geometric stability. Int. Math. Res. Notices., **48** (2004), 25552591.

[PT06] Paul, S. and Tian, G.: CM stability and the generalized Futaki invariant II. Astrisque No. **328** (2009), 339-354.

[Ti97] Tian, G: Kähler-Einstein metrics with positive scalar curvature. Invent. Math., **130** (1997), 1-39.

[Ti98] Tian, G: Canonical Metrics on Kähler Manifolds. Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich, Birkhäuser Verlag, 2000.

[Ti09] Tian, G.: Einstein metrics on Fano manifolds. "Metric and Differential Geomtry", Proceeding of the 2008 conference celebrating J. Cheeger's 65th birthday, edited by Dai et al., Progress in Mathematics, volume 239. Birkhäuser, 2012.

[Ti12] Tian, G.: Partial C^0-estimates for Kähler-Einstein metrics. Communications in Mathematics and Statistics, **1**, no. 2 (2013), 105-113.

[Ti13] Tian, G.: Stability of pairs. Preprint, arXiv:1310.5544.